

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration is requested. Applicants have amended Claim 10 to place the application in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. Entry of the Amendment is requested. Allowance of Claims 1-9 and 11-16 is acknowledged.

**OBJECTIONS**

The Office Action objected to the specification on the grounds that Applicants' amendment did not include corresponding generic terminology for the Trademarks. The previous Amendments are believed to be proper, in compliance with the Lanham Act. The indicated mark has been designated and that the mark is being used properly (with a ® symbol) as required by the MPEP 608.01(v). If the objection is sustained, Applicants request a specific citation that justifies the alleged violation.


**Rejection Under 35 USC 112, second paragraph**

The Office Action rejected Claim 10 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, on the grounds of that the phrase "a reducing agent further in the reaction" was ungrammatical. In view of the modifications above, the rejection is believed overcome. The undersigned appreciates the Examiner's suggested language.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, allowance of all claims is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By

  
Diderico van Eyl  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 38,641

LANXESS Corporation  
111 RIDC Park West Drive  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15275-1112  
(412) 809-2231  
FACSIMILE PHONE NUMBER:  
(412) 809-1054

/s/me  
S:\Law Shared\SHARED\DOVE\PATENTS\7778\5-17-05 RESPONSE.doc

CH7778

-7-